

TXU Energy

Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station P.O. Box 1002 (E01) Gien Rose, TX 76043 Tel: 254 897 8920 Fax: 254 897 6652 lance.terry@txu.com C. Lance Terry
Senior Vice President &
Principal Nuclear Officer

Ref: 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)

CPSES- 200202602 Log # TXX-02114 File # 10200

August 5, 2002

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (CPSES)

DOCKET NO. 50-446

ACTUATION OF REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 446/02-001-00

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 02-001-00 for Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Unit 2, "Reactor Trip due to Primary Water Pump Vibration High Signal."





TXX-02114 Page 2 of 2

This communication contains the following new commitment which will be completed as noted:

Commitment Number

27270

Commitment

Engineering will evaluate other turbine/generator protection cabinets to determine if similar circuit designs exist.

The Commitment number is used by TXU Generation Company LP for the internal tracking of CPSES commitments.

Sincerely,

TXU Generation Company LP

TXU Generation Management Company LLC, By:

Its General Partner

C. L. Terry

Senior Vice President and Principal Nuclear Officer

Roger D. Walker

Regulatory Affairs Manager

GLM/gm **Enclosures**

E. W. Merschoff, Region IV C-

W. D. Johnson, Region IV

D. H. Jaffe, NRR

Resident Inspectors, CPSES

NRC FORM 366 (7-2001)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	1,500 000 10	ED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 XPIRES 07/31/2004
LICENSEE E	EVENT REPORT (LER)	request: 50 hours. Reported lessons fed back to industry. Send comment Management Branch (T-6 Be). U.S. 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to Information and Regulatory Affairs, Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If	comply with this mandatory information collection is learned are incorporated into the licensing process and its regarding burden estimate to the Records. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC to big 1@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of NEOB-10202 (3150-0104), Office of Management and a means used to impose information collection does notirol number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and to, the information collection.
Facility Name (1)		Docket Number (2)	Page (3)
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM	LELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2	05000446	1 OF 5

Title (4)

REACTOR TRIP DUE TO PRIMARY WATER PUMP VIBRATION HIGH SIGNAL

E	vent Date (:	5)		LER Number	(6)	R	eport Date (7)			Other Facilities Is	ivolved (8)			
Month	Day	Year	Year	Sequential Number	Revisio Numbe		Day	Year	1	Facility Name			Docket N 0500		
06	06	02	02	001	00	08	05	02					0500	0	
perating		1 1	his report is sui	bmitted pursuant to t	he requirements of	10 CFR : (Chec	k all that ap	(11) (ylo							
fode (9)		1	20.22010	b)	2	0.2203(a)(3)	(i)			50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)		50.73(a)(2)(vii)		
Power	***		20.2201	d)	2	0.2203(a)(3)	(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)	(A) 50.73(i			3(a)(2)(viii)(A)	
Level (10)	100)	20.22030	a)(I)	1 2	0.2203(a)(4)				50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) 50.73			73(a)(2)(viii)(B)		
			20.22030	a)(2)(i)	5	0.36(c)(2)(i)	(A)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)			
			20.22030	ax2Xii)	5	0.36(c)(1)(ii)	(A)		X 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)			50.72(a)(2)(x)			
		- 0	20.22030	aX2Xiii)	5	0.36(c)(2)				50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)		73.71(a)(4)			
			20.22030	aX2Xiv)	5	0.46(a)(3)(ii)	i .			50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)		73.71(a)(5)			
			20.2203	a)(2)(v)	5	0.73(a)(2)(i)	(A)		50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			OTHER			
			20.22030	aX2Xvi)	5	0.73(a)(2)(i)	(B)	- 1		50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)		Specify in Abstract below			
												in NRC Form 366A			
			•			Licennee C	outact For T	his LER (1	12)						
lame			Ben Ma	ys, Smart	Team 1 S	ystems l	Manag	er		Те	lephone Number (In 25	4-897-6			
					Complete One	ine For Each Co	mponent Fa	ilure Descri	ibed	in This Report (13)					
Caus	e	System	Co	mponent	Manufacturer	Reportable To EPIX		(Cause	e System	Component	Manuf	cturer	Reportable To EPIX	
						N									
				Supplemental Re	port Expected (14)				EV	PECTED	Month	Day	Year	
Y	YES			1	NO			SUBMISSION							
	VEC	Me EVD	CTED SUBM	ISSION DATE)			X		DATE (15)		ATE (15)		T		

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On June 6, 2002, Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES) Unit 2 was in Mode 1, Power Operation, operating at 100 percent power. At 1924 hours, while Maintenance personnel were investigating a "Generator Electronic Protection Failure" alarm, a "Turbine Generator Channel 1 Primary Water Pump Vibration High" signal was received. This resulted in a Main Generator trip which caused a trip of the Unit 2 Main Turbine. The Unit 2 reactor automatically tripped on a "Turbine Trip >50% Power" signal. All control rods fully inserted, and all Auxiliary Feedwater pumps automatically started on Lo-Lo Steam Generator level as expected.

TXU Generation Company LP (TXU Energy) believes that the event was caused by a Primary Water Pump Vibration Expansion Measuring cabinet circuit breaker failing open which caused a spurious "Turbine Generator Channel 1 Primary Water Pump Vibration High" signal. Corrective actions include replacement of the failed breaker, failure analysis on the failed breaker, and evaluation of potential circuit design changes or modifications.

All times in this report are approximate and Central Daylight Standard Time unless noted otherwise.

NRC FORM 366A

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Facility Name (1)	Docket		Page(3)			
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT?		Year		Sequential Number	Revision Number	
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2	05000446	02	H	001	00	2 OF 5

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE REPORTABLE EVENT

A. REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION

Any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of the Reactor Protection System (RPS) including reactor trip or reactor scram.

B. PLANT OPERATING CONDITIONS PRIOR TO THE EVENT

On June 6, 2002, CPSES Unit 2 was in Mode 1, Power Operation, at 100 percent power.

C. STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, OR COMPONENTS THAT WERE INOPERABLE AT THE START OF THE EVENT AND THAT CONTRIBUTED TO THE EVENT

There were no structures, systems, or components that were inoperable at the start of the event that contributed to the event.

D. NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVENT, INCLUDING DATES AND APPROXIMATE TIMES

On June 6, 2002, CPSES Unit 2 was in Mode 1, Power Operation, operating at 100 percent power. At 1858 hours, Operators (utility, licensed) in the Unit 2 Control Room received a "Generator Electronic Protection Failure Alarm." Maintenance personnel (utility, non-licensed) investigated and found faults indicated in Electronic Generator Protection cabinet 2-JC52 (EIIS: (CAB)), which contains the Channel 1 Primary Water Pump (EIIS:(P)) 2-01 Shaft Vibration Detector (EIIS:(DET)). The Primary Water Pump Shaft Vibration trip uses a 2 out of 2 channel logic with a trip setpoint of 6.3 volts. Voltage readings were taken on both vibration detector channels (EIIS:(CHA)), and the voltages measured on both channels were normal (3.6 volts and 3.5 volts), indicating that there was not an actual problem with pump vibration.

At 1910 hours, the Maintenance personnel returned to cabinet 2-JC52 and found that the conditions had changed. Channel 1 at that time was showing additional alarms and the voltage measured was zero. Maintenance and Operations personnel were evaluating the next course of action when, at 1924 hours, a "Turbine Generator Channel 1 Primary Water Pump Vibration High" signal was received. This resulted in a Unit 2 Turbine Generator (EIIS:(TG)) trip which caused a trip of the Unit 2 Main Turbine (EIIS:(TRB)). The Unit 2 reactor (EIIS:(RCT)) automatically tripped on a "Turbine Trip >50% Power" signal. All control rods (EIIS: (AA)) fully inserted, and all Auxiliary Feedwater (EIIS:(BA)) pumps started automatically on Steam Generator (EIIS:(SG)) Lo-Lo level.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

NRE FORM 366A (1-2001)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Facility Name (1)	Docket		LER Number (6)		Page(3)
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2		Year	Sequential Number	Revision Number	
	05000446	02	001	00	3 OF 5

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

E. THE METHOD OF DISCOVERY OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE, OR PROCEDURAL OR PERSONNEL ERROR

Operators (utility, licensed) in the Unit 2 Control Room received a "Turbine Trip >50% Power" alarm.

II. COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURES

A. FAILURE MODE, MECHANISM, AND EFFECTS OF EACH FAILED COMPONENT

A circuit breaker (EIIS:(BKR)) in Vibration Expansion Measuring cabinet 2-JM03 (EIIS: (CAB)) failed open during this event. The failure mode, mechanism, and effects of the circuit breaker failure have not yet been determined. The breaker failure is being analyzed at a testing laboratory.

B. CAUSE OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE

The cause of the circuit breaker failure has not yet been determined. The cause of the breaker failure is being determined through failure analysis techniques at a testing laboratory.

C. SYSTEMS OR SECONDARY FUNCTIONS THAT WERE AFFECTED BY FAILURE OF COMPONENTS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS

Not applicable - No failures of components with multiple functions have been identified.

D. FAILED COMPONENT INFORMATION

Siemens Power Group Model Number 340/032 Circuit Breaker

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Facility Name (1)	Docket		Page(3)		
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2		Year	Sequential Revision Number Number		
	05000446	02	001	00	4 OF 5

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

III. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

A. SAFETY SYSTEM RESPONSES THAT OCCURRED

The Reactor Protection System and The Auxiliary Feedwater System actuated during the event. The Unit 2 reactor automatically tripped on a "Turbine Trip >50% Power" signal, and all three Auxiliary Feedwater pumps automatically started on "Steam Generator Lo-Lo water level" signals.

B. DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM TRAIN INOPERABILITY

Not applicable - no safety system train was deemed inoperable.

C. SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE EVENT

This event is specifically bounded by the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) accident analysis of the turbine trip presented in Section 15.2.3 of the CPSES FSAR. The analysis uses conservative assumptions to demonstrate the capability of pressure relieving devices and to demonstrate core protection margins. The event of June 6, 2002, occurred at 100 percent reactor power, and all systems and components functioned as designed. There were no safety system functional failures associated with this event.

Based on the above, it is concluded that the event of June 6, 2002, did not adversely affect the safe operation of CPSES Unit 2 or the health and safety of the public.

IV. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

TXU Energy believes that a circuit breaker (EIIS:(BKR)) in Vibration Expansion Measuring cabinet 2-JM03 (EIIS: (CAB)) failed open which caused a spurious "Turbine Generator Channel 1 Primary Water Pump Vibration High" signal. Post trip troubleshooting using a replacement breaker revealed that when the channel 1 vibration detector circuit breaker is opened a voltage spike enters the channel 2 circuit, and this voltage spike on Channel 2 satisfies the "2 of 2" logic trip on the Primary Water Vibration Detector circuit.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Facility Name (1)	Docket	1.		LER Number (6)	Page(3)
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2		Year		Sequential Number	Revision Number	
	05000446	02	H	01	00	5 OF 5

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

V. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The failed breaker has been replaced. The cause of the breaker failure is being determined through failure analysis techniques at a testing laboratory. Maintenance personnel received instruction related to blocking the Primary Water Pump vibration detector trip prior to working on the circuit, and Operations personnel were provided information on the trip via a lessons learned.

Engineering will evaluate other turbine/generator protection cabinets to determine if similar circuit designs exist. Digital upgrades planned for this equipment eliminate this circuitry thereby minimizing the probability of a single component failure generating a Turbine Generator trip signal. The Turbine Generator vendor (Siemens) has provided potential equipment modifications which could be implemented prior to the digital upgrade.

VI. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

There have been other previous events which resulted in a turbine trip followed by an automatic reactor trip. However, the causes of those events were sufficiently different such that the corrective actions taken for the previous events would not have prevented this event.